November 17, 2011

Mr. Chris Vasil
Clerk, United States Supreme Court
cvasil@supremecourt.gov

Re: Howards v. Reichle, et. al., No. 11-262

Dear Mr. Vasil:

This is to confirm our conversation from earlier today that Mr. Howards does not oppose the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari filed by Petitioners in this matter.

Mr. Howards believes that the First Amendment to the United States Constitution needs to be vindicated nationally in this matter and, given the split in the circuits, the only final vindication can come from the United States Supreme Court, thus he does not oppose the Petition.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

David A. Lane
November 10, 2011

Via Email and U.S. Mail

William Suter
Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

Re: Reichle v. Howards
Certiorari Petition No. 11A47
Supreme Court of the United States

Dear Mr. Suter:

Undersigned counsel for Petitioners learned yesterday, and informs the Court, that counsel for Respondent Howards does not oppose certiorari review of this case, and does not intend to file a brief opposing the certiorari petition.

Accordingly, Petitioners request that the Court consider the certiorari petition and briefs of Amici the United States and State Attorneys General forthwith.

Sincerely,

Sean R. Gallagher

cc.

Via Email and U.S. Mail

Richard A. Westfall, Esq.
Hale Westfall, LLP
1445 Market Street, Suite 300
Denver, CO 80202

David A. Lane, Esq.
Kilmer & Lane, LLP
1543 Champa Street
Denver, CO 80202