## **Cases by Vote Split**

9-0	8-1	<b>7-2</b>	6-3	5-4
33 (44%)	8 (11%)	6 (8%)	13 (17%)	15 (20%)
KPMG v. Cocchi (PC)	Smith v. Cain	Maples v. Thomas	Cavazos v. Smith (PC)	Douglas v. Ind. Living Center
Bobby v. Dixon (PC)	CompuCredit v. Greenwood	Golan v. Holder (6-2)	Kawashima v. Holder	Coleman v. Maryland
Greene v. Fisher	Minneci v. Pollard	Reynolds v. U.S.	Wetzel v. Lambert (PC)	Missouri v. Frye
Judulang v. Holder	Gonzalez v. Thaler	Messerschmidt v. Millender	Kurns v. Railroad Friction	Lafler v. Cooper
Hardy v. Cross (PC)	Perry v. New Hampshire	Martinez v. Ryan	Setser v. U.S.	FAA v. Cooper (5-3)
Hosanna-Tabor v. EEOC	Roberts v. Sea-Land	Knox v. SEIU	Vartelas v. Holder	Florence v. Bd. of Chosen Freeholders
Pacific Op. v. Valladolid	Zivotofsky v. Clinton		Taniguchi v. Kan Pacific	U.S. v. Home Concrete
Mims v. Arrow Financial	Match-E-Be-Nash-She-Wish v. Patchak		Blueford v. Arkansas	Hall v. U.S.
Perry v. Perez (PC)		-	Armour v. Indianapolis	Williams v. Illinois
U.S. v. Jones			Elgin v. Dept. of Treasury	Christopher v. SmithKline
Nat'l Meat Ass'n v. Harris			Southern Union v. U.S.	Salazar v. Ramah Navajo
Ryburn v. Huff (PC)			Arizona v. U.S. (5-3)	Dorsey v. U.S.
Howes v. Fields			U.S. v. Alvarez	Miller v. Alabama
Marmet v. Brown (PC)				Am. Tradition P'ship v. Bullock (PC)
PPL Montana v. Montana				Nat'l Fed'n Indep. Bus. v. Sebelius
Martel v. Clair				
Mayo v. Prometheus				

Not Included Above					
Stok v. Citibank (10-514)	Dismissed - Rule 46				
Magner v. Gallagher (10-1032)	Dismissed - Rule 46				
Kiobel v. Royal Dutch (10-1491)	Restored for Reargument during OT12				
Vasquez v. United States (11-199)	Dismissed as Improvidently Granted				
Jackson v. Hobbs (10-9647)	Consolidated with Miller v. Alabama				
First American Financial v. Edwards (10-708)	Dismissed as Improvidently Granted				

Past Terms								
	9-0	8-1	7-2	6-3	5-4			
ОТо6	39%	13%	11%	4%	33%			
ОТ07	30%	9%	29%	14%	17%			
ОТо8	33%	5%	16%	16%	29%			
ОТо9	46%	10%	15%	11%	18%			
OT10	48%	13%	15%	5%	20%			
Avg.	39%	10%	17%	10%	24%			

Sackett v. EPA

Rehberg v. Paulk Filarsky v. Delia Caraco v. Novo Nordisk Kappos v. Hyatt

Wood v. Milyard Astrue v. Capato Holder v. Gutierrez Freeman v. Quicken Loans

Credit Suisse v. Simmonds (8-0)

Mohamad v. Palestinian Authority

RadLAX v. Amalgamated Bank (8-0)

Coleman v. Johnson (PC) Reichle v. Howards (8-0) Parker v. Williams (PC) FCC v. Fox (8-0)

<sup>\*</sup> This chart includes both signed merits opinions and summary reversals.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Unless otherwise noted, we treat cases with eight or fewer votes as if they were decided by the full Court. In other words, we treat a case like *Reichle v. Howards* as a 9-0 case throughout this Stat Pack. For 8-0, 7-1, and 6-3 decisions, we categorically assumed that the recused Justice would have joined the majority. In cases that were decided 5-3, we looked at each individual case to decide whether it was more likely that the recused Justice would join the majority (as in *Arizona v. United States*) or the dissent (as in *Federal Aviation Administration v. Cooper*). Our assumption that nine Justices voted in each case applies only to figures that treat each case as a whole, like the chart above and our Strength of the Majority charts on page 12, and not to figures that focus on the behavior of individual Justices, like our Frequency in the Majority figures charts on page 13 or our Justice Agreement charts on pages 20-25. We have done our best to note where we assume a full Court and where we use an incomplete Court.