Case: 12-15422 Date Filed: 10/23/2012 Page: 1 of 3

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR TH	E ELEV	ENTH (CIRCUIT
	No. 12-	15422	

JOHN FERGUSON,

Petitioner - Appellant,

versus

SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

Before CARNES, WILSON and PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

This court is in receipt of the district court's order, issued October 23, 2012, granting a Certificate of Appealability (COA) on the following issues:

- A. Whether the decision of the Florida Supreme Court involved an unreasonable application of the Un[ited] States Supreme Court's decision[s] in *Ford* and *Panetti*.
- B. Whether the Florida Supreme Court's affirmance of the state trial court was based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the

Case: 12-15422 Date Filed: 10/23/2012 Page: 2 of 3

evidence presented in the state court proceeding, viz, that (a) the petitioner has a documented history of paranoid schizophrenia; (b) he is not malingering, and (c) he has a fixed grandiose delusion that he is the "Prince of God."

Pursuant to Eleventh Circuit Rule 22-4(a)(7), this panel is required to "grant a temporary stay pending consideration of the merits of the appeal if necessary to prevent mooting the appeal." However, "the panel may, after hearing, deny a stay if it makes written findings that:

- (i) the appeal is frivolous, or is lacking any factual basis in the record, or is squarely foreclosed by statute, rule, or authoritative court decision; or
- (ii) the petition is successive, and the requirements for dismissal are met."

In this case, Ferguson's death will render his appeal moot. Accordingly, the court hereby:

- (1) Grants the Petitioner's Emergency Motion for Stay of Execution;
- (2) Directs the parties to brief the Rule 22-4(a)(7)(i) and (ii) issues, including whether the temporary stay should be extended, and the merits of the issues identified in the Certificate of Appealability, pursuant to the following schedule:

Petitioner shall file a brief on the merits by Monday, October 29, 2012, at 12:00 p.m. Respondent shall have until Monday, November 5, 2012, at 12:00 p.m. to file a response brief. Petitioner shall then have until Tuesday, November 6,

Case: 12-15422 Date Filed: 10/23/2012 Page: 3 of 3

2012, at 12:00 p.m. to file a reply brief. The parties are directed to file the briefs electronically and to serve the briefs to opposing counsel electronically at the same time.

DONE AND ORDERED.